

Minutes OU6 Former Communications Site
Project Manager Meeting Notes
June 12, 2008

1) Review Process of ongoing Remedial Investigation

- a) 2008 RI Management Plan Document Draft management plan comments are in. RPMs need to backcheck for comment resolution.
- b) We discussed ways to make changes to 2008 plans easy to identify... include an addendum that outlines the changes..Redline a 2007 workplan document.
- c) All data from last year should be included at the time of the final 2008 RI MP.

2) Discussions of Data.

- a) We are in the second year and we have data from last year that is not acceptable for a risk assessment.
- b) We don't know if we need to pick up another set of samples to answer questions because of uncertain data.
- c) Marti is concerned that we may eliminate copcs before we have certified data.
- d) We should follow the guidance written in the 2007 management plan regarding quality of data and what to do with J valued data.
- e) Not all data needs to be Level 4 Data.
- f) Bob Brock will set up a QA/QC calibration meeting among risk assessors...CH and State to determine what questions are still remaining with respect to data and Quality Assurance and use in a risk assessment.

3) Where are we in the Review Process

- a) Perhaps Bob Brock can prepare a document review tracking sheet of the documents that are out there, whether or not comments have been received, answered, and/or backchecks completed. Also to include dates when those actions occurred.

4) Can we get a tech memo to justify why we did not sample certain wells.

- a) It should be in the well data package. Bob B. will ask for this info.

5) Do we need to document that all dirt is not dirty?

- a) The bulk of soils excavated by WCC was clean.
- b) Look at the DQOs again from 2007.

6) There is confusion in the way that areas are named.

- a) This should be clarified and treated the same way throughout the entire OU.

- b) SubArea should be expanded to include the area that currently has no letter assigned to it instead of giving it a new name.
 - c) PCB Exclusion area should always be the only exclusion area.
- 7) The Drum and Debris Workplan (May 22, 2008) will need to be reworded to reflect consensus among project managers.
- a) If munitions are mixed with chemical contamination in the soils, we will take it out with no stipulation as to depth. If munitions contamination only is suspected, contractor will excavate to a depth of 5 feet at which time project managers will make a decision about how to proceed. ADEC asked what would be acceptable criteria to make the decision by project managers.
- 8) Soil Vapor Sampling data.
- a) Data will be evaluated in accordance with the draft management plan DQO #2.
 - b) The intent of this initial sampling was to identify contaminated areas along with groundwater and soil data. A qualitative analysis will be used to determine if hot spots are located on site.
 - c) This data is not intended to be used in the risk assessment. There are several reasons for this: We were completing the sub slab gas survey to explain why we looked for source areas, to look for drums, to look for soil contamination, to show that there was Freon/formaldehyde from construction debris that would interfere with risk assessments from contamination. There will need to be some explanation as to why these values should not be in the risk assessment.
- 9) Data that will not be used in a risk assessment must be presented separately from data to be used for risk screening with logic included.
- 10) A decision document should be written and signed by rpms to clarify changes to the 2007 management plan, changes to the 2007 workplan, why we did the sub slab gas survey, other important changes between 2007 and 2008.